



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,215	11/12/2003	Timothy Allen	81044345	6309
7590 03/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Donald Wilkinson			PANG, ROGER L	
MacMillan Sobanski & Todd Suite 405			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
38705 W. Seven Mile Road			3681	
Livonia, MI 4	8152	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. 1	065 4-4' 0	10/706,215	ALLEN ET AL.				
V	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Roger L Pang	3681				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may  ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)□ 2a)□ · 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower.	s action is non-final.	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	=	• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureassee the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •	<b></b>					
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-12-03</u> 2 - 14 - 0.5	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,215

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

The following action is in response to application 10/706,215 filed on November 12, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16-21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kato.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, 14-15, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claims 1, 20, and 22, respectively, above, and further in view of Williams '603. Kato teaches the transfer mechanism, but lacks the specific teaching wherein the coupler 52 is a synchronizer. Williams teaches a range clutch 82 with a coupler that is a synchronizer (paragraph 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kato to employ a synchronizer as a coupler in further view of Williams in order to prevent shift shock.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oun has been cited to show a range transmission with the ring gear coupled to either the case or the input sun gear.

Brown and Williams '159 have been cited to show similar transfer mechanisms.

#### **FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,215

Art Unit: 3681

(Signature)

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile	e transmitted to the Patent and					
Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on	(Date)					
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:						

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L Pang whose telephone number is 703-305-0445. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roger L Pang
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3681

March 10, 2005